

THE LAWWAY WITH LAWYERS JOURNAL

Website: www.the-lawway-with-lawyers.com

VOLUME:-36 ISSUE NO:- 36 , JUNE 1, 2026

ISSN (ONLINE):- 2584-1106

Email: thelawwaywithlawyers@gmail.com

Digital Number : 2025-23534643

CC BY-NC-SA

Authored By :- Chandrani Chakraborty

Co Authored By :- Dr. Haricharan Singh Yadava

BETWEEN LAW AND ECOLOGY: THE TRANSFORMATIVE ROLE OF THE JUDICIARY IN WILDLIFE CRIME PREVENTION

Abstract

Wildlife crime represents one of the most pressing environmental challenges of the twenty-first century, threatening biodiversity, ecological balance, and global sustainability. In India, where wildlife holds ecological, cultural, and constitutional significance, the judiciary has emerged as a critical actor in combating wildlife crime. This paper examines the transformative role of the judiciary in preventing wildlife offences through innovative interpretations of law, proactive judicial activism, and the evolution of eco-centric jurisprudence. By analyzing constitutional provisions, statutory frameworks, and landmark judicial decisions, the study highlights how courts have bridged enforcement gaps and strengthened wildlife protection mechanisms. The paper also explores the role of Public Interest Litigation (PIL) as a powerful tool enabling judicial intervention in wildlife crime cases. While acknowledging significant judicial

contributions, the paper critically evaluates limitations such as enforcement challenges, institutional constraints, and the need for greater coordination among stakeholders. It concludes by advocating for a more integrated and technology-driven judicial approach to effectively address emerging forms of wildlife crime, including digital trafficking networks.

Keywords: Wildlife crime, Judiciary, Eco-centric jurisprudence, Public Interest Litigation, Environmental law, Biodiversity protection.

1. Introduction

Wildlife crime, encompassing illegal hunting, poaching, trafficking, and trade of flora and fauna, has evolved into a transnational organized crime with significant ecological and economic implications. India, as one of the world's megadiverse countries, faces severe threats from wildlife crime, particularly in relation to endangered species such as tigers, elephants, and pangolins. Despite the existence of robust statutory frameworks like the Wildlife (Protection) Act, 1972, enforcement deficiencies and administrative limitations persist.

In this context, the judiciary has played a transformative role in addressing wildlife crime by interpreting legal provisions expansively and ensuring accountability. Courts in India have moved beyond traditional adjudication to adopt an active role in environmental governance, thereby shaping the trajectory of wildlife protection laws (Rosencranz, Divan, & Noble, 2019). This paper explores how the judiciary acts as a bridge between legal frameworks and ecological imperatives.

2. Conceptual Framework: Law, Ecology, and Judicial Intervention

The intersection of law and ecology reflects a shift from anthropocentric to eco-centric legal thinking. Traditional legal systems prioritize human interests; however, modern environmental jurisprudence increasingly recognizes the intrinsic value of nature. The judiciary has been instrumental in this transition by incorporating ecological principles into legal reasoning.

Eco-centric jurisprudence emphasizes the rights of nature and ecological balance over purely human-centered concerns (Cullinan, 2011). In India, this shift is reflected in judicial

pronouncements that recognize animals as legal entities and emphasize the duty of the state to protect wildlife under Articles 48A and 51A(g) of the Constitution (Jain, 2020).

Judicial intervention becomes necessary where executive inaction or legislative gaps hinder effective wildlife protection. Courts often step in through PILs to address such gaps, thereby ensuring that environmental governance aligns with constitutional mandates.

3. Legal Framework Governing Wildlife Protection in India

India's wildlife protection regime is anchored in a combination of constitutional provisions, statutory enactments, and international commitments.

The **Wildlife (Protection) Act, 1972** remains the primary legislation, providing for the protection of species, regulation of hunting, and establishment of protected areas. Additionally, the **Biological Diversity Act, 2002** and provisions under the **Indian Penal Code** complement wildlife protection efforts.

Constitutionally, Article 48A directs the State to protect and improve the environment, while Article 51A(g) imposes a fundamental duty on citizens to safeguard wildlife. The judiciary has interpreted Article 21 (right to life) to include the right to a healthy environment, thereby strengthening the legal basis for wildlife protection (Bhullar, 2021).

4. Judicial Activism and Wildlife Crime Prevention

Judicial activism has significantly shaped wildlife crime prevention in India. Through innovative interpretations, courts have expanded the scope of environmental protection and imposed strict accountability mechanisms.

In **Centre for Environmental Law, WWF-India v. Union of India (2013)**, the Supreme Court emphasized species conservation and prohibited activities threatening endangered species. Similarly, in **State of Bihar v. Murad Ali Khan (1988)**, the Court underscored the seriousness of wildlife offences and upheld strict penalties.

The judiciary has also invoked the **public trust doctrine**, holding the State as a trustee of natural resources, thereby ensuring that wildlife is protected for public benefit (M.C. Mehta v. Kamal Nath, 1997). This doctrine has been instrumental in preventing exploitation and illegal trade of wildlife resources.

5. Public Interest Litigation as a Tool for Wildlife Protection

Public Interest Litigation (PIL) has revolutionized environmental and wildlife jurisprudence in India. By relaxing locus standi, the judiciary has enabled individuals and organizations to approach courts for the protection of wildlife.

PILs have led to the establishment of conservation measures, the prohibition of illegal mining in forest areas, and stricter enforcement of wildlife laws. For instance, PILs have played a crucial role in tiger conservation and the protection of forest habitats (Singh, 2018).

The judiciary's proactive stance in PILs reflects its commitment to environmental justice and the protection of vulnerable ecological systems.

6. Emergence of Eco-centric Jurisprudence

One of the most significant contributions of the judiciary is the development of eco-centric jurisprudence. Courts have increasingly recognized the intrinsic value of wildlife and ecosystems.

In **Animal Welfare Board of India v. A. Nagaraja (2014)**, the Supreme Court recognized animals' rights and emphasized compassion towards living creatures. This case marked a shift toward recognizing non-human entities within the framework of legal rights.

Such developments highlight the judiciary's role in transforming environmental law into a more inclusive and sustainable legal system.

7. Challenges and Limitations

Despite significant judicial contributions, several challenges persist. Enforcement of judicial orders remains inconsistent due to administrative inefficiencies and lack of coordination among

agencies. Wildlife crime networks, particularly those operating through digital platforms and the dark web, pose new challenges that traditional legal mechanisms struggle to address.

Additionally, judicial overreach is sometimes criticized for encroaching upon executive functions. While activism is necessary, it must be balanced with institutional limitations to ensure effective governance (Rosencranz et al., 2019).

8. The Way Forward

To enhance the judiciary's role in wildlife crime prevention, a multi-pronged approach is required. Strengthening institutional mechanisms, incorporating technology in monitoring wildlife crime, and enhancing international cooperation are essential.

Specialized environmental courts, such as the National Green Tribunal, should be further empowered to handle wildlife-related cases efficiently. Judicial training on emerging environmental issues and greater collaboration with scientific experts can also improve decision-making.

9. Conclusion

The judiciary has played a transformative role in bridging the gap between law and ecology in India. Through judicial activism, PILs, and the development of eco-centric jurisprudence, courts have significantly contributed to wildlife crime prevention. However, the evolving nature of wildlife crime necessitates continuous adaptation and innovation in legal approaches.

Ultimately, the judiciary's role must be complemented by effective enforcement, public awareness, and institutional coordination to ensure the long-term protection of wildlife and ecological balance.

References

- Bhullar, L. (2021). *Environmental law in India*. Oxford University Press.
- Cullinan, C. (2011). *Wild law: A manifesto for earth justice* (2nd ed.). Chelsea Green Publishing.

- Jain, M. P. (2020). *Indian constitutional law* (8th ed.). LexisNexis.
- Rosencranz, A., Divan, S., & Noble, M. (2019). *Environmental law and policy in India* (3rd ed.). Oxford University Press.
- Singh, S. (2018). Environmental governance and public interest litigation in India. *Journal of Environmental Law*, 30(2), 321–345.
- Wildlife (Protection) Act, 1972 (India).
- Biological Diversity Act, 2002 (India).
- Animal Welfare Board of India v. A. Nagaraja, (2014) 7 SCC 547.
- Centre for Environmental Law, WWF-India v. Union of India, (2013) 8 SCC 234.
- M.C. Mehta v. Kamal Nath, (1997) 1 SCC 388.
- State of Bihar v. Murad Ali Khan, (1988) 4 SCC 655.